UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,095	09/26/2003	Moon-Jung Choi	P2033US	7091
	7590 07/09/200 DDLE & REATH LLP	8	EXAM	IINER
	T DOCKET DEPT.	00	PRABHAKHER, F	PRITHAM DAVID
CHICAGO, IL	ER DRIVE, SUITE 370 60606	OO .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPdocketchicago@dbr.com zenash.kebede@dbr.com

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-31 and 33-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1) and further in view of Tamura et al. (US Patent No.: 6771896B2) and Nakajima et al. (US Patent No.: 7212729B2).

In regard to Claim 1, Ueno teaches of a digital camera (Paragraph 0004) comprising:

an optical system (The photographic lens group includes an optical lens group, Paragraph 0010),

an optoelectric converter (The imaging device 14 acts as an optoelectric converter in converting the optical image captured, **Paragraph 0025**),

a recording medium (Storage medium 120, Paragraph 0023),

a display (Image display 28 and LCD display 54, Paragraphs 0027 and 0030),

and

Ueno discloses a system control 50 (digital signal processor) that transmits and receives data between the recording medium (120) and the external device (300), Figures 1-3 and Paragraph 0060 of Ueno. Ueno further discloses a communication interface transmitting and receiving data files between the recording medium (120 in Figure 1) and the external device (300 in Figure 2) (Interface 128 from Figure 1 is the interface capable of transmitting and receiving data files between the recording medium and an external device, Paragraphs 0036 and 0037 and Figures 1-3 of Ueno).

However, Ueno fails to teach or reasonably suggest displaying on the display a state indicator that indicates progression of a transceiving state of data files being transmitted between the recording medium and an external device. Tamura et al. disclose displaying the time to complete transmission (progression of data files being transmitted) on a display of a camera, from the camera (100) to an external device (printer 220), **Figure 4, 11c and Column 17, Lines 45 et seq. of Tamura et al.** It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a state indicator indicating the progression of data files being transmitted between a recording medium and an external device because it lessens the burden on the user by preventing the user from having to guess the progression of data files being transmitted.

Also, Ueno and Tamura et al. fail to teach or explicitly disclose displaying on the display an initialization state of the communication interface. Nakajima et al. disclose

displaying the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices (repeating station and disk recorder 3), Column 8, Lines 25-47 and Column 10, Lines 56-58 of Nakajima et al and Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disclose the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices, because this is a good way of alerting the user that the two devices are in successful/unsuccessful communication with each other.

Page 4

Regarding Claim 4, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the digital signal processor displays on the display an electrical connection state between the digital camera and the external device (Figure 9 and steps S805 to s807 of Ueno).

Regarding Claim 5, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the digital signal processor further monitors the transceiving state of data files being transmitted between the recording medium and the external device and the state indicator that indicates progression of the transceiving state (Ueno discloses a system control 50 (digital signal processor) that transmits and receives data between the recording medium (120) and the external device (300), Figures 1-3,11 and Paragraph 0060 of Ueno. However, Ueno fails to teach or reasonably suggest displaying on the display a state indicator that indicates progression of a transceiving state of data files being transmitted between the recording medium and an external

progression of data files being transmitted).

device. Tamura et al. disclose displaying the time to complete transmission (progression of data files being transmitted) on a display of a camera, from the camera (100) to an external device (printer 220), **Figure 4, 11c and Column 17, Lines 45 et seq. of**

Tamura et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a state indicator indicating the progression of data files being transmitted between a recording medium and an external device because it lessens the burden on the user by preventing the user from having to guess the

With regard to Claim 6, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the external device is a computer (It is possible to transfer the image data from the camera to an external device such as a computer, Paragraphs 0004 and 0037 of Ueno).

In regard to Claim 7, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the display is an LCD panel (Both the displays 28 and 54 are LCD displays, Paragraphs 0027 and 0030 of Ueno).

Regarding Claim 8, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the recording medium is removable from the camera (The recording medium 120 is removable from the camera as shown in Figure 3 of Ueno).

With regard to Claim 9, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the recording medium comprises solid state memory (Paragraphs 0023 and 0037 of Ueno).

Claims 10-23 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1) and further in view of Nakajima et al. (US Patent No.: 7212729B2).

In regard to Claim 10, Ueno discloses a digital camera comprising:

an optical system (The photographic lens group includes an optical lens group,

Paragraph 0010),

an optoelectric converter (The imaging device 14 acts as an optoelectric converter in converting the optical image captured, **Paragraph 0025**),

a recording medium (Storage medium 120, Paragraph 0023),

a display (Image display 28 and LCD display 54, **Paragraphs 0027 and 0030**), and

a communication interface to transmit and to receive data files between the recording medium and an external device (Interface 128 from Figure 1 is the interface capable of transmitting and receiving data between the recording medium 120 and the

external device (300), Figures 1-3 and Paragraphs 0036,0037 and 0060 of Ueno), and

a digital signal processor (system control 50 in Figure 1).

However, Ueno does not disclose a display for displaying an initialization state of the communication interface. Nakajima et al. disclose displaying the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices (repeating station and disk recorder 3), Column 8, Lines 25-47 and Column 10, Lines 56-58 of Nakajima et al and Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disclose the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices, because this is a good way of alerting the user that the two devices are in successful/unsuccessful communication with each other.

Regarding Claim 11, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 10, wherein the digital signal processor further displays on the display an electrical connection state between the digital camera and the external device (Figure 9 and steps \$805 to \$807 of Ueno).

With regard to **Claim 12**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 11, wherein the digital signal processor further displays on the display a transceiving state of data files being transmitted between the recording medium and the external device (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128

from Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed on the display (Communicating S805) **Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036, 0037 0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno)**.

In regard to Claim 13, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 10, wherein the communication interface is a USB interface (The communication interface can function as a USB interface, Paragraph 0037 of Ueno).

Regarding Claim 14, Ueno discloses a digital camera comprising:

a means for creating a digital photograph (The photographic lens group includes an optical lens group for capturing an optical image of an object. An imaging device 14 converts the optical image captured into an electric signal. An A/D converter 16 converts the analog signal from device 14 into a digital signal, **Paragraph 0010**),

a means for storing digital image data (The digital image data can be stored in storage medium 120, **Paragraph 0023**),

a means for displaying data (The data can be displayed on image display 28, **Paragraph 0027**), and

a means for transmitting and receiving data files between the means for storing digital image data and an external device ((Interface 128 from Figure 1 is the interface capable of transmitting and receiving data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300), Figures 1-3 and Paragraphs 0036,0037 and 0060 of Ueno).

Art Unit: 2622

Although Ueno discloses the means for transmitting and receiving data files between the means for storing digital image data and an external device (as taught above), the reference does not disclose a means for displaying an initialization state of the means for transmitting data files. Nakajima et al. disclose displaying the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices (repeating station and disk recorder 3), Column 8, Lines 25-47 and Column 10, Lines 56-58 of Nakajima et al and Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disclose the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices, because this is a good way of alerting the user that the two devices are in successful/unsuccessful communication with each other.

Regarding Claim 15, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 14, further comprising:

a means for displaying a transceiving state of the means for transmitting and receiving data files between the means for storing digital image data and the external device, (Figure 11 of Ueno shows that the display is capable of displaying a state of transmitting and receiving data files between the recording medium 120 and external device 300. The Display Transmission Results shows the files transmitted and received).

Art Unit: 2622

In regard to **Claim 16**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 14, wherein the digital signal processor includes the capability of displaying an electrical connection state between the digital camera and the external device as shown in (Figure 9, steps S805 and S807 **of Ueno**).

Regarding Claim 17, Ueno discloses a method for monitoring the status of a digital camera, the method comprising:

initializing a communication interface. It is inherent that there is an initialization of a communication interface because when two devices communicate via their interfaces, the interfaces have to be initialized to ensure compatibility. However, Ueno doesn't explicitly teach or disclose displaying an initialization state. Nakajima et al. disclose displaying the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices (repeating station and disk recorder 3), Column 8, Lines 25-47 and Column 10, Lines 56-58 of Nakajima et al and Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disclose the initialization state of the communication interface between two devices, because this is a good way of alerting the user that the two devices are in successful/unsuccessful communication with each other.

With regard to **Claim 18**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 17, wherein the displaying an initialization state while initializing a communication interface comprises:

monitoring a connection between the digital camera and an external device (S501 in Figure 6 of Ueno),

waiting until the connection is complete before proceeding with the initializing of the communication interface. It is inherent to have the two devices be completely connected to each other before the communication interface can be initialized, because unless the two devices are connected with each other, it is impossible to initialize the communication interface.

Ueno do not explicitly disclose initializing the communication interface and displaying a message indicating the initializing of the communication interface, determining whether the initializing of the communication interface is successful, and if the initialization succeeds, displaying a message indicating the success of the initialization of the communication interface. Nakajima et al. show this in (Column 8, Line 25 to Column 10, Line 58 and Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al. S20 in Figure 5 of Nakajima et al. discloses indicating a message that the initialization has been completed).

Regarding **Claim 19**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 18, wherein the displaying the initialization state while initializing the communication interface further comprises:

if the initialization fails, displaying a message indicating the failure of the initialization of the communication interface (S5, S12, S7 etc. in Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al.).

With regard to **Claim 20**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 18, wherein the displaying the initialization state while initializing the communication interface further comprises:

if the initialization fails, displaying a message offering guidance to remedy the failure (If the initialization fails, a message is displayed suggesting what has failed, therefore offering a guidance as to how to fix it, **Figures 4-5 of Nakajima et al.**).

Regarding Claim 21, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 17, further comprising:

device (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128 from
Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the
external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed
on the display (Communicating S805) Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036,0037
0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno).

With regard to Claim 22, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 21, wherein the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting the data file to the external device further comprises:

determining whether the data file is being transmitted (Figures 6-9 and 11 of Ueno show the determining of the transmitting of data),

displaying a message indicating status of transmission of a data file (Figure 11 of Ueno).

In regard to **Claim 23**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 22, wherein the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device further comprises:

determining whether the initialization of the communication interface is successful,

if initialization of the communication interface is successful (It is inherent that there is an initialization of a communication interface because when two devices communicate via their interfaces, the interfaces have to be initialized to ensure compatibility), proceeding with displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128 from Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed on the display (Communicating S805) Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036,0037 0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno), and

However, Ueno and Nakajima et al. do not particularly mention terminating the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device when the initialization of the communication interface is not successful. Official notice is taken by the examiner on terminating the displaying of the

transceiving state while transmitting the data file to or from the external device when the initialization of the communication interface is not successful. It would have been obvious and well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the transceiving state between two devices would cease to exist once a communication link between the two was broken or ended. If there is no communication, no data can be transmitted or received.

Regarding Claim 25, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 17, further comprising:

repeating the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting the data file to the external device until an end signal is input (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128 from Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed on the display (Communicating S805) Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036, 0037 0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno. Looking at Figure 6 and 7 of Ueno, until the end signal (mode completed S513) is input, the step of displaying a transceiving state (state of data being transmitted and received) from an external device will be repeated).

In regard to **Claim 26**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 17, further comprising:

displaying an unloaded state after the digital camera is unloaded from an external device (The unloaded state is viewed as a state in which communication is not possible and the words "Communication Impossible (Device None) is displayed on the image display 28, **Paragraph 0076 of Ueno**).

With regard to Claim 27, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 26, wherein displaying the unloaded state after the digital camera is unloaded from the external device comprises:

determining whether an unloaded signal is input to the digital camera (If a device is not able to communicate with the camera and does not exist (S802), an unloaded signal is input to the camera to display the message S807, **Figure 9 of Ueno**), and

if an unloaded signal is input, displaying a message indicating the unloaded state of the digital camera (If the unloaded signal is input (no device exists to communicate with the camera), S807 is displayed on the camera to indicate the unloaded state, Figure 9 of Ueno).

Regarding **Claim 28**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 27, wherein the displaying the unloaded state after the digital camera is unloaded from the external device comprises:

in **Figure 6 of Ueno**),

if the digital camera is not disconnected from the external device (No in **S11** in **Figure 6 of Ueno**), repeating the step of displaying the unloaded state after the digital camera is unloaded from the external device (When No under Mode Completed is selected, the steps of **Figure 6 of Ueno** repeat again, and at S503 in Figure 6 and at S522 at Figure 7 and then at S802 in Figure 9, once the digital camera is unloaded (communication is broken) from an external device 300, S807 is displayed again).

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1) and Nakajima et al. (US Patent No.:

7212729B2) as applied to claims 17, 21 and 22 above, and further in view of

Mitsuhashi et al. (US Patent No.: 6717693B2)

Regarding **Claim 24**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 22, wherein the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device (as disclosed above in claim 22).

However, the references of Ueno and Nakajima et al. do not further disclose determining the type of communication interface, and displaying a message indicating the type of the communication interface. Mitsuhashi et al. disclose a computer 100 in communication with a printer 1500, where the computer determines and displays a

message indicating the type of communication interface, Figure 15 and Column 10,

Lines 53-61 of Mitsuhashi et al. It would have been obvious and well-known to one of
ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the features of being
able to determine and display the type of interface being used for communications with
the invention disclosed by Ueno and Nakajima et al., because this enables the user to
have a better understanding and knowledge of the systems transceiving capabilities.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1) and further in view of Tamura et al. (US Patent No.: 6771896B2) and Mitsuhashi et al. (US Patent No.: 6717693B2).

In regard to **Claim 29**, Ueno teaches of a method for monitoring the status of a digital camera, the method comprising:

displaying a transceiving state while transmitting a data file to an external device (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128 from Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed on the display (Communicating S805) Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036,0037 0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno). However, Ueno fails to teach or reasonably suggest displaying a state indicator that indicates progression of a transceiving state while transmitting data to an external device. Tamura et al. disclose displaying the time to complete transmission (progression of data files being transmitted) on a display of a camera, from the camera (100) to an external device (printer 220), Figure 4, 11c and Column 17, Lines 45 et

Art Unit: 2622

seq. of Tamura et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a state indicator indicating the progression of data files being transmitted between a recording medium and an external device because it lessens the burden on the user by preventing the user from having to guess the progression of data files being transmitted.

Ueno and Tamura et al. also do not disclose determining the type of communication interface, and displaying a message indicating the type of the communication interface. Mitsuhashi et al. disclose a computer 100 in communication with a printer 1500, where the computer has a determines and displays a message indicating the type of communication interface, Figure 15 and Column 10, Lines 53-61 of Mitsuhashi et al. It would have been obvious and well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the features of being able to determine and display the type of interface being used for communications with the invention disclosed by Ueno and Nakajima et al., because this enables the user to have a better understanding and knowledge of the systems transceiving capabilities.

Regarding **Claim 30**, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Mitsuhashi et al. disclose the method of claim 29, wherein the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting the data file to the external device further comprises:

determining whether the data file is being transmitted (Figures 6-9 and 11 of Ueno show the determining of the transmitting of data),

displaying a message indicating status of transmission of a data file (Figure 11 of Ueno).

Regarding **Claim 31**, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Mitsuhashi et al. disclose the method of claim 22, wherein the displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device further comprises:

determining whether the initialization of the communication interface is successful,

if initialization of the communication interface is successful (It is inherent that there is an initialization of a communication interface because when two devices communicate via their interfaces, the interfaces have to be initialized to ensure compatibility), proceeding with displaying the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device (System control 50 (digital signal processor) controls the interface 128 from Figure 1 to transmit and receive data between the recording medium 120 and the external device (300). The transceiving state of data files being transferred is displayed on the display (Communicating S805) Figures 1-3, 6-9 and Paragraphs 0036, 0037, 0060 and 0076-0078 of Ueno), and

However, Ueno Tamura et al. and Mitsuhashi et al. do not particularly mention terminating the displaying of the transceiving state while transmitting or receiving the data file to or from the external device when the initialization of the communication interface is not successful. Official notice is taken by the examiner on terminating the

Art Unit: 2622

device when the initialization of the communication interface is not successful. It would have been obvious and well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the transceiving state between two devices would cease to exist once a communication link between the two was broken or ended. If there is no communication, no data can be transmitted or received.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1), Tamura et al. (US Patent No.: 6771896B2)

and Nakajima et al. (US Patent No.: 7212729B2) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kameyama (US Patent No.: 7158266B2)

In regard to Claim 33, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the state indicator indicates progression of a transceiving state as discussed above in claim 1. However, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Nakajima et al. do not disclose that the indication of the progression of the transceiving state comprises a series of bars. Kameyama shows this in Figure 4 of Kameyama. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to indicated the progression of a transceiving state by a series of bars because it is a way of letting the user approximate a remaining time until the completion of a transfer.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1) and Nakajima et al. (US Patent No.:

7212729B2) as applied to claims 10 and 17 above and further in view of

Kameyama (US Patent No.: 7158266B2)

In regard to **Claim 34**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the digital camera of claim 10, wherein a user notices a progression of the initialization state as discussed above in claim 10. However, Ueno and Nakajima et al. do not disclose that the indication of the progression of the initialization state comprises a series of bars.

Kameyama shows this in **Figure 4 of Kameyama**. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to indicated the progression of an initialization state by a series of bars because it is a way of letting the user approximate a remaining time until the completion of a transfer.

In regard to **Claim 35**, Ueno and Nakajima et al. disclose the method of claim 17, wherein a user notices a progression of the initialization state as discussed above in claim 17. However, Ueno and Nakajima et al. do not disclose that the indication of the progression of the initialization state comprises a series of bars. Kameyama shows this in **Figure 4 of Kameyama**. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to indicated the progression of an initialization state by a series of bars because it is a way of letting the user approximate a remaining time until the completion of a transfer.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ueno (US Pub No.: 2002/0037747A1), Tamura et al. (US Patent No.: 6771896B2)

and Mitsuhashi et al. (US Patent No.: 6717693B2) as applied to claim 29 above

and further in view of Kameyama (US Patent No.: 7158266B2)

In regard to Claim 33, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Mitsuhashi et al. disclose the method of claim 29, wherein the state indicator indicates progression of a transceiving state as discussed above in claim 29. However, Ueno, Tamura et al. and Mitsuhashi et al. do not disclose that the indication of the progression of the transceiving state comprises a series of bars. Kameyama shows this in Figure 4 of Kameyama. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to indicated the progression of a transceiving state by a series of bars because it is a way of letting the user approximate a remaining time until the completion of a transfer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PRITHAM PRABHAKHER whose telephone number is (571)270-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) Alt Friday's Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David L. Ometz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622

Pritham David Prabhakher
Patent Examiner
Pritham.Prabhakher@uspto.gov
/Pritham Prabhakher/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622

Art Unit: 2622

Application Number 10/672,095

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



EXAMINER'S CASE ACTION WORKSHEET

Copy (Ctri+C) Palm Transaction Code 1322 82212262210672095				Legal I	nstrument Examiner
CHECK TYPE OF ACTION					DATE OF COUNT
	Non-Final Rejection		Restriction/Election Only		Final Rejection
	Ex Parte Quayle		Allowance		Advisory Action
	Examiner's Answer		Reply Brief Noted		Non-Entry of Reply Brief
	Defective Notice of Appeal		Interference Disposal SPE (Approval for Disposal)		Suspension (Examiner-Initiated) SPE (initial)
	Defective Appeal Brief		SIR Disposal (use only after FAOM)		Supplemental Examiner's Amendment
	Miscellaneous Office Letter (With Shortened Statutory Period Set)		Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment (With One Month Time Period set)		Miscellaneous Office Letter (No Response Period Set)
	Abandonment after BPAI Decision	□ St	upplemental Action		Response to Rule 312 Amendment
	Letter Restarting Period for Response (e.g., Missing References)		Interview Summary		Authorization to Change Previous Office Action SPE: (Initial)
	Abandonment		Express Abandonment Date:		Other

Examiner's Name: PRITHAM **AU**: 2622

PRABHAKHER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,095	CHOI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	PRITHAM PRABHAKHER	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-31 and 33-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-31 and 33-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/12/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/672,095 Examiner PRITHAM PRABHAKHER Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CHOI ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2002/0037747 A1	03-2002	Ueno, Fumihiro	455/557
*	В	US-2002/0137544 A1	09-2002	Myojo, Toshihiko	455/557
*	С	US-2003/0027603 A1	02-2003	Takasaki, Atsushi	455/566
*	D	US-7,117,519 B1	10-2006	Anderson et al.	725/105
*	Е	US-6,771,896 B2	08-2004	Tamura et al.	396/57
*	F	US-6,792,293 B1	09-2004	Awan et al.	455/566
*	G	US-7,158,266 B2	01-2007	Kameyama, Takaki	358/403
*	Ι	US-6,957,045 B2	10-2005	Haller et al.	455/41.1
*	I	US-6,927,770 B2	08-2005	Ording, Bas	345/440
*	٦	US-2002/0155478 A1	10-2002	Nelson et al.	435/6
*	K	US-7,212,729 B2	05-2007	Nakajima et al.	386/83
*	L	US-6,717,693 B2	04-2004	Mitsuhashi et al.	358/1.15
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Ø					
	R					
	Ø					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Search Notes



Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10672095	CHOI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
PRITHAM PRABHAKHER	2622

SEARCHED				
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner	
455	566, 74, 567	06/26/08	PP	
348	207.1, 333.02	06/26/08	PP	

SEARCH NOTES				
Search Notes	Date	Examiner		
On East [US-PGPUB, USPA, USOCR, EPO, JPO, DERWENT, IBM_TDB] SEE SEARCH TERMS ATTACHED]	06/26/08	PP		
Inventor Name Search	06/26/08	PP		
Examiners: Tuan Ho	06/26/08	PP		

	INTERFERENCE SEA	RCH	
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No.: 20080626